

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,017	10/040,017 01/04/2002		Mischa Megens	1-10-5	8821	
47394	7590	05/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HITT GA	-		ANGEBRANNI	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. PO BOX 832570			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RICHARD	RICHARDSON, TX 75083					
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	· · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,017	MEGENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	February 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	· <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22-29</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and	c. stocken roquitomonic					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	• •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
,	and a state of the	5 5				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ate have been received					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	red.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	n/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O) [_] Ouler					

Application/Control Number: 10/040,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Responses to the arguments of the applicant are presented after the first rejection to which they are directed. Rejection of the previous office action not repeated below are withdrawn based upon the

arguments of the applicant and the amendments to the claims.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

visible light of 400-700 nm is disclosed. (2/20-24).

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-13 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by

Kaisaki et al. WO 96/13538.

Comparative example 34 (page 31) is a mixture of epoxy resin UVR 6110, diphenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate (a photoinitiator), camphorquinone (a sensitizer), and dimethylbenzylamine (an electron donor). The composition did not cure under exposure to 436 nm visible light alone (polymerization test methods 1 (page 21) and was not heated. The use of

Dimethylbenzyl amine is disclosed as a cationic polymerization modifier, which is known to delay the initiation of cationic polymerization. Other examples include triethylamine

Application/Control Number: 10/040,017 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

and pentamethylaniline and some of these modifiers offer the additional advantage of increasing the rate of polymerization once it begins (Oxman et al. WO 99/62460, page 10/line 8- page 11/line25).

The diphenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate is the photoacid generator. The failure to reject claim 21 was an oversight. See the prepub of the instant specification at [0041-0043], noting that  $Ar_2I^{\dagger}X^{\dagger}$  represents and iodonium salt in particular.

5. Claims 11-13 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Oxman et al. WO99/62460.

Oxman et al. WO99/62460 in examples 1-21 teach a mixture of acrylates and epoxy curable materials, together with diaryliodonium hexafluoroantimonate, camphorquinone, polytetrahydrofuran together with 22 different cationic polymerization modifiers. Example 5 uses 2,4,6-pentamethylaniline, example 6 used dimethylbenzylamine, example 13 used ethanolamine and example 10 uses triethylamine and the induction periods (the difference between T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (control)) were determined. (page 25-30). For examples 5,6,10 and 13, the induction period raged from 0.51-3.46 minutes depending upon the amount and polymerization modifier used. (table 1 on page 29). The exposure was in the 400-500 nm range (22/22-26). Useful sensitizers include xanthene dyes (page 12/lines 1-13). Of the cationic polymerization modifiers listed on page 10, methyldimethanolamine, dibutylamine, diethanol amine, ethylemorpholine, (methylamino)ethanol and dimethylbenzylamine also increase the rate of polymerization once it begins.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,017

Art Unit: 1756

The diphenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate is the photoacid generator. The failure to reject claim 21 was an oversight. See the prepub of the instant specification at [0041-0043], noting that  $Ar_2I^+X^-$  represents and iodonium salt in particular..

6. Claims 11-13 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Neckers et al. '802.

See example 1 which comprises cyclohexene oxide (an epoxy), ethyl erythrosine (a xanthene dye), diaryliodonium hexafluoroantimonate and pentamethylaniline. When exposed to visible light 10 minutes are required for curing. Amines useful as coinitiators with onium salts are disclosed. (10/47-11/18). The use of these with novolak/Novolac resins is disclosed. (11/49-65).

The diphenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate is the photoacid generator. The failure to reject claim 21 was an oversight. See the prepub of the instant specification at [0041-0043], noting that  $Ar_2I^+X^-$  represents and iodonium salt in particular..

7. Claims 1-20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Campbell, et al., "Fabrication of Photonic Crystals for the Visible Spectrum by Holographic Lithography, Nature, Vol. 404. pp. 53-56 (03/2000) or Turberfield, "Photonic Crystals made by Holographic Lithography, MRS Bull. Pp. 632-636 (08/2001), in view of Popovich et al. '152, Neckers et al. '802 and Oxman et al. WO99/62460

Campbell, et al., "Fabrication of Photonic Crystals for the Visible Spectrum by Holographic Lithography, Nature, Vol. 404. pp. 53-56 (03/2000) teach the use of an Epoxy based resist EPON SU8, with a triarylsulfonium salt as the photoinitiator/photoacid generator. The resist is coated on a substrate, heated to remove the solvent, exposed to four beams.

"absorption of the UV photon by the molecule of PAG liberates a hydrogen ion; acid catalyzed polymerization occurs when the film is heated in a post-exposure bake". The photonic crystal structure is revealed by development using propylene glycol methylether acetate in an ultrasonic bath. (page 54). The formation of full connected polymer and air void lattices is disclosed. The filling of the resultant structure with titania is disclosed. (page 54,right column).

Page 5

Turberfield, "Photonic Crystals made by Holographic Lithography, MRS Bull. Pp. 632-636 (08/2001) teaches the use of an Epoxy based resist EPON SU8, with a triarylsulfonium salt as the photoinitiator/photoacid generator. The resist is coated on a substrate, heated to remove the solvent, exposed to four beams. "absorption of the UV photon by the molecule of PAG liberates a hydrogen ion; acid catalyzed polymerization occurs when the film is heated in a post-exposure bake". The photonic crystal structure is revealed by development using propylene glycol methylether acetate in an ultrasonic bath. (page 633, right column). The formation of full connected polymer and air void lattices is disclosed. (page 634, center column). The filling of the resultant structure with titania is disclosed. (page 635, left column). The use of three beam exposure is disclosed. (page 625, left column).

Popovich et al. '152 teach the use of eosin and triethanol amine, fluorescein and triethanolamine, erythrosin B and triethanol amine systems as initiation systems extending spectral response of photopolymerizable systems into the 400 – 700 nm range. (These are all xanthene dyes, see prepub of the instant specification at [0040] and figures 4a-c)) The use of triethylamine and other amines as co-initiators is disclosed. (8/35-9/6). The formation of gratings using 4889 nm lasers is disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,017

Page 6

Art Unit: 1756

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the compositions and processes of either Campbell, et al., "Fabrication of Photonic Crystals for the Visible Spectrum by Holographic Lithography, Nature, Vol. 404. pp. 53-56 (03/2000) or Turberfield, "Photonic Crystals made by Holographic Lithography, MRS Bull. Pp. 632-636 (08/2001) which use sulfonium salts by using dye/onium together with amine coinitators/polymerization modifiers to extend the spectral response of these compositions and control the rate and onset of polymerization as disclosed by Neckers et al. '802 and Oxman et al. WO99/62460 and to use a longer wavelength laser, such as the 488 nm output of an argon ion laser to perform the interferometric exposure as taught by Popovich et al. '152, which ahs the benefit of the laser beams being visible to the eye, which allows easy adjustment of the laser beams.

The applicant argues that the secondary references do not teach neutralizer molecules, but failed to assert this with respect to the rejections based upon the references made under 35 USC 102. The examiner also notes that the discussion of the secondary references clearly describe triethylamine and pentamethylaniline as useful coinitiators for onium salts. As these are bases, their neutralization of a certain amount of any photoacid generated is chemically inherent. The examiner points out that these are the same compounds discussed in the prepub of the instant specification at [0057]. The motivation is different from the reasons asserted by the applicant, as the references use the recited amines as co-initiators, but the induction effect is recognized in the art as evidenced by Oxman et al. WO99/62460. The increase in the speed of initiation once it has begun clearly translated to an increase in photospeed. The rejection stands. Also these couple well with the xanthene dyes to extend the spectral response of the composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,017 Page 7

Art Unit: 1756

8. Claims 1-20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Campbell, et al., "Fabrication of Photonic Crystals for the Visible Spectrum by Holographic Lithography, Nature, Vol. 404. pp. 53-56 (03/2000) or Turberfield, "Photonic Crystals made by Holographic Lithography, MRS Bull. Pp. 632-636 (08/2001), in view of Popovich et al. '152, Neckers et al. '802 and Oxman et al. WO99/62460, further in view of Cowan et al. '571.

Cowan et al. '571 teach the use of argon ion lasers and HeCd lasers (458 and 442) when forming crossed grating patterns to form 2D arrays of features.

In addition to the basis provided above, the examiner cites Cowan et al. '517 to support the position that the use of visible lasers in place of the UV lasers used in the exposure processes of either Campbell, et al., "Fabrication of Photonic Crystals for the Visible Spectrum by Holographic Lithography, Nature, Vol. 404. pp. 53-56 (03/2000) or Turberfield, "Photonic Crystals made by Holographic Lithography, MRS Bull. Pp. 632-636 (08/2001) as modified by of Popovich et al. '152, Neckers et al. '802 and Oxman et al. WO99/62460 would have been obvious and furthermore the use of visible lasers to expose resists twice to form arrays of features is old and well known in the holographic arts.

The rejection stands for the reasons above without further comment.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (ppl)-free).

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

**5**/15/06 <del>12/05/200</del>3